

1 DAVID SCHER, California Bar No. 184562
2 THE EMPLOYMENT LAW GROUP, P.C.
3 888 17th Street, NW, Suite 900
4 Washington, D.C. 20006
5 dscher@employmentlawgroup.net
(202) 261-2802
(202) 261-2835 (facsimile)
Counsel for Plaintiff Tim Galli

6

7

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

10
11 TIM GALLI,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 PITTsburg UNIFIED SCHOOL
15 DISTRICT, BARBARA WILSON and
16 PERCY MCGEE,

17 Defendants.

18
19 CASE NO. 3:09-cv-03775-JSW

20
21 **JOINT STIPULATION ON CASE
22 SCHEDULING
23 AND ORDER THEREON**

24
25 **SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THIS COURT, THE PARTIES HEREBY
26 STIPULATE TO THE FOLLOWING:**

27
28 1. On October 9, 2009, the parties agreed to stipulate to various matters, including a
Case Management Conference on January 8, 2009, telephonically, subject to the Court's approval.
2. On October 10, 2009, the Court Ordered that a Case Management Conference will
be held, in person, on January 8, 2009.
3. Plaintiff was unavailable in person on January 8, 2009, the prior date set for the Case
Management Conference. The parties agreed, and the Court approved the agreement, to hold the
Initial Case Management Conference on January 29, 2010, in person.
4. On December 11, 2009, all parties, through their respective counsel of record,

1 engaged in the Rule 26 conference telephonically to discuss those items required by Rule 26(f)
2 including initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and a discovery plan for the
3 above-referenced matter.

4 5. On December 18, 2009, all parties, through their respective counsel of record,
5 engaged in a follow-up teleconference to further discuss a discovery plan for the above-referenced
6 matter.

7 6. As part of the outcome of those two teleconferences and follow up correspondence
8 between the parties' counsel, the parties agreed to submit the instant matter to alternate dispute
9 resolution (specifically Early Neutral Evaluation ("ENE")) to attempt to resolve the matter, if
10 possible, in advance of the Case Management Conference.

11 7. Counsel for Defendants determined, through contact with the Court's ENE program,
12 that, with enough advanced notice, it may be possible to schedule ENE prior to the Case
13 Management Conference.

14 8. Discovery is ongoing, notwithstanding the delay in the Case Management
15 Conference.

16 9. Plaintiff has now determined that he has a scheduling conflict with January 29, 2010,
17 the current date of the Initial Case Management Conference.

18 10. Accordingly, to accommodate Plaintiff's scheduling conflict and to allow for ENE
19 and potential early resolution if possible, the parties request to extend the Case Management
20 Conference to Friday, March 26, 2010.

21
22
23 **SO STIPULATED.**
24
25
26
27
28

1 DATED: December 29, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

2
3
4
5 THE EMPLOYMENT LAW GROUP, P.C.

6
7 DATED: December 29, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

8
9 FAGEN FRIEDMAN & FULFROST, LLP


10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

By: /s/
Roy A. Combs
Attorneys for Defendants PITTSBURG UNIFIED
SCHOOL DISTRICT, BARBARA WILSON
AND PERCY MCGEE

PROPOSED ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, pursuant to the above Stipulation of the parties, that the case
schedule be amended as outlined above. The case management conference is CONTINUED to
March 26, 2010 at 1:30 p.m. A joint case management
conference statement shall be filed by March 19, 2010.

DATED: January 5, 2010



Hon. Jeffrey S. White

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE